Proposal for urban development from Rajasthan

†2786. DR. ABRAR AHMED: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the proposals/schemes sent by Government of Rajasthan for Central assistance for development of various cities;

(b) the number and names of the proposed cities for development under each of the above said proposals/schemes; and

(c) by when the approval of Government is likely to be accorded to pending proposals/schemes and the reasons for delay in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): (a) to (c) Under Centrally sponsored scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT), 6 proposals have been received during the year 2001-02 from the Government of Rajasthan. All 6 towns have been covered under the IDSMT Scheme during the years 2001-02 and 2002-03 and total Central assistance amounting to Rs. 28.00 lakhs were released as per details given below:—

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl.No.	Name of Towns	Central Assistance Released
2001-02		
1.	Nathdwara	45.00
2.	Bhinder	24.00
3.	Suratgarh	45.00
2002-03		
4.	Rawatbhata	45.00
5.	Tonk	45.00
6.	Pokharan	24.00
	TOTAL:	228.00

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

No project from Rajasthan State is pending.

Under the Centrally sponsored Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme (AUWSP), assistance is provided to the State Governments for providing water supply to the towns having population less than 20,000 as per 1991 Census on a 50:50 basis to be borne by the Central and State Governments. Since the start of programme in March, 1994, a total of 43 schemes have been sanctioned in Rajasthan till date. Detailed status of approved AUWSP schemes in the State is given in the Statement (*See* Below).

Another 6 water supply schemes from the State have been scrutinized and comments have been forwarded to State Government for necessary compliance. On receipt of compliance report, the schemes would be considered for possible sanction in due course subject to its technical soundness.

The names of the towns for which water supply schemes have been submitted by Government of Rajasthan and which are under consideration are given in enclosed Statement (*See* below).

Under the Low Cost Sanitation (LCS) scheme, 158 schemes have been sanctioned for Rajasthan by Housing and Urban Development Corporation (HUDCO) at a project cost of Rs.13380.06 lakhs involving loan of Rs.427.31 lakhs and subsidy (Government of India) of Rs.5149.67 lakhs (as on 31.7.2002). Rs.14.22 lakhs of loan and Rs. 2458.89 lakhs of subsidy amount has been released.

Statement

Detailed status of approved AUWSP shemes as well as the pending proposals in Rajasthan

State: Rajasthan
Status- 31.7.2002
(A) Projects Sanctioned (Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of Town	District	Population (1991 Census)	Sanctioned Date Mon/Yr.	Project Cost	Per Capita Cost (In Rs.)	Central Share	Funds Released By G.O.I.	Expenditure by State upto 3/2002
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 11
1.	Antah	Kota	18501	March, 95	74.44	402.36	37.22	81.97	85.78
2.	Sarwar *	Ajmer	12316	March, 95	10.00	81.20	5.00	(1993-94)	13.70

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
3.	Baswa *	Jaipur	16153	March, 95	99.31 $$	614.81	49.66	177.97		211.28
4.	Deogarh *	Rajsamand	13930	March, 95	94.62 $$	679.25	47.31	(1994-95)		196.99
5.	Galiakot *	Dungarpur	5894	March, 95	17.20	291.82	8.60	237.00		21.55
6.	Kherli *	Alwar	12277	March, 95	67.40	548.99	33.70	(1995-96)		90.82
7.	Mahwa *	Swai Madhopur	13091	March, 95	40.80	311.66	20.40	306.75		48.92
8.	Dhariwad *	Udaipur	8812	March, 95	79.00	896.50	39.50	(1996-97)	1668.87	83.85
9.	Bali *	Pali	15445	March, 95	160.00	1035.93	80.00	171.52		163.17
10.	Takhatgarh *	Pali	12301	March, 95	68.80	559.30	34.40	(1997-98)		67.16
11.	Kaithoon *	Kota	16034	Dec., 95	78.20	487.71	39.10	324.81		67.28
12.	Pokharan *	Jaisalmer	14800	Dec., 95	106.90	722.30	53.45	(1998-99)		112.97
13.	Shahpura *	Jaipur	17828	Dec., 95	78.60	440.88	39.30	0.00		101.15
14.	Sunel *	Jhalwar	11355	Dec., 95	80.20	706.30	40.10	(1999-2000)		91.54
15.	Viratnagar *	Jaipur	13168	March, 96	78.00	592.35	39.00	306.74		116.37
16.	Amet *	Rajsamand	14614	March, 96	164.00	1122.21	82.00	(2000-2001)		196.78
17.	Chhapar *	Churu	15535	March, 96	195.00	1255.23	97.50	539.73		218.53
18.	Nawacity *	Nagaur	13969	March, 96	114.60	820.39	57.30	(2001-2002)		138.28
19.	Napasar *	Bikaner	15606	August, 97	134.60	862.49	67.30	0.00		155.24
20.	Kapren *	Bundi	13370	August, 97	50.66	378.91	25.33	(2002-2003)		59.15
21.	Gangapur	Bhilwara	15256	October, 98	394.55	2586.20	197.28			90.42
22.	Keshoraipatan	Bundi	15748	October, 98	58.48	371.35	29.24			67.83
23.	Bassi	Jaipur	15133	Nov., 98	77.73	513.65	38.67			83.36
24.	Bhinder*	Udaipur	14749	Nov., 98	93.51	634.01	46.76			93.98
25.	Kanaore	Udaipur	11303	November, 98	96.26	851.63	48.13			89.04
26.	Bagru *	Jaipur	15509	March, 99	87.01	561.03	43.61			103.03
27.	Mandawa	Jhunjhunu	16490	Oct., 2000	149.33	905.88	74.69			77.67
28.	Behror	Alwar	16238	Oct., 2000	220.70	1359.16	110.35			34.00
29.	Losal	Sikar	19283	Oct., 2000	128.47	666.23	64.24			50.07
30.	Reengus	Sikar	17653	Nov., 2000	196.83	1114.99	98.42			107.64
31.	Uniyara	Tonk	9232	Nov., 2000	91.33	989.28	45.67			26.64
32.	Kesarisinghpur	Sriganganagar	11844	Nov., 2000	74.89	632.30	37.45			24.72

1.	2	3	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
33.	Kapasan	Chittorgarh	16026	Jan., 2001	120.49	751.84	60.25			14.52
34.	Begun	Chittorgarh	15641	Jan., 2001	133.67	854.61	66.84			18.29
35.	Jobner	Jaipur	9546	Jan., 2001	110.92	1161.95	55.46			29.97
36.	Bhusawar	Bharatpur	14524	Feb., 2002	104.82	721.70	52.41			29.97
37.	Weir	Bharatpur	14881	Feb., 2002	112.91	758.75	56.46			0.00
38.	Surajgarh	Jhunjhunu	16534	Feb., 2002	153.22	926.70	76.61			0.00
39.	Salumber	Udaipur	13981	Feb., 2002	272.82	1951.36	136.41			0.34
40.	Bari Sadri	Pratapgrah	13318	Feb., 2002	132.95	998.27	66.48			0.00
41.	Deoli	Tonk	16779	March, 2002	156.10	930.33	78.05			0.00
42.	Todabhim	Karauli	16736	May, 2002	119.09	711.58	59.55			0.00
43.	Jahajpur	Bhilwara	15024	July, 2002	131.96	877.66	65.93			
	TOTAL		616427		5010.32	2505.16	2146.49		1668.87	3152.03

- Scheme completed.

$$ - Revised Cost.

N.B. - Schemes at Sl. NO.1-10 were agreed in principle during 1993-94 and DPRs were approved subsequently.

(B) Schemes under Scrutiny in CPHEEO

(*Rupees in lakhs*)

Sl. No.	Name of Town	District	Population	Project Cost	Remarks
1.	Gulabpura	Bhilwara	19248	143.88	Modified DPR received in 7/2002, the same was discussed with State Officials. Clarifications sought from State Govt. Reply awaited.
2.	Mount Abu	Sirohi	15593	151.96	Examined, Comments sent to State Govt. in 6/2002, Reply awaited.
3.	Bandikui	Dausa	16153	593.31	Examined, Comments sent to State Govt. in 6/2002. Reply awaited.

Sl. No.	Name of Town	District	Population	Project Cost	Remarks
4.	Sheoganj	Sirohi	19866	198.79	Examined, Comments sent to State Govt. in 6/2002, Reply awaited.
5.	Chhabra	Baran	16384	105.34	Examined, Comments sent to State Govt. in 7/2002. Reply awaited.
6.	Pratappur	Banswara	12677	155.23	Examined, Comments sent to State Govt. in 7/2002. Reply awaited.
			99921	1348.51	

Legal rights to persons buying flats in group housing societies

2787. SHRI RAJNATH SINGH 'SURYA': Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any proposal from Delhi Government or any other body for providing all legal rights to a person buying flats in cooperative group housing societies which are available to original members; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reaction of Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): (a) and (b) As per the information furnished by the Ministry of Home Affairs, a draft Bill titled "The Delhi Cooperative Societies Bill, 2001" has been received from the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi for obtaining prior approval of the Central Government before its introduction in the Legislative Assembly of NCT of Delhi, which is still under their consideration.

Registrar of Cooperative Societies (Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi) has reported that the matter is *sub-judice* at present.

Additional Assistance to Kerala under NSDP

2788. SHRI A. VIJAYA RAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any representation from